

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,735	12/21/2001	Timo Elomaa	367.40942X00 5096	
22907 BANNER & W	7590 11/01/2007 VITCOFF, LTD.		367.40942X00 5096 EXAMINER SANDOVAL, KRISTIN D ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2132	INER
1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051		SANDOVAL, KRISTIN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/023,735	ELOMAA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kristin D. Sandoval	2132			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au	ugust 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6-8,18,25 and 35-37</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-8,18,25 and 35-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2001</u> is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/023,735 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 6-8, 18, 25 and 35-37. Claims 1-5, 9-17, 19-24, 26-34 and 38-40 are cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 6-8, 18, 25 and 35-37 in the reply filed on August 10, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 36 and 37 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 36 recites the limitation, "said indicia corresponding to said content are included in a datagram". Although applicant's specification discloses the content being encapsulated by a datagram and an indicia being associated with content (paragraphs 0022 and 0028), it is never specified how the indicia is associated with the content, therefore, just because the content is encapsulated by a datagram does not mean the indicia is also included in the datagram. In addition, claim 37 recites the limitation, "wherein said indicia corresponding to content is a bit included in said datagram".

Art Unit: 2132

However, applicant's specification states that the bit included in the datagram represents a class which is different then the indicia (paragraphs 0022-0024).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 6-8, 25 and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stefik et al. (Stefik), U.S. Patent No. 6,910,022.

As per claims 6-8 and 25:

Stefik discloses a terminal having a first temporary memory into which content is receivable, a second memory and a user interface operatively associated with said memories, such that a set of operations indicated by an indicia identified by said user interface in relation to said content received into said first memory is permitted by reference to said content, at least one of said operations permitted by said content being a transfer of said content to said second memory, wherein a set of operations of said user interface in relation to said same content when received into said second memory is similarly permitted by reference to said content (5:62-6:28).

As per claim 35:

Stefik discloses a method of receiving content the distribution of which is to be controlled, comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number

Art Unit: 2132

receiving content and indicia corresponding to said content, said indicia being indicative of a level of distribution of said content, wherein said level represents permitted uses of said content (5:62-6:28, 9:9-49); and

in response to said indicia corresponding to said content, controlling use of said content such that said use is one of said permitted uses (10:7-31).

As per claims 36 and 37:

Stefik discloses a method wherein said content and indicia are included in a datagram wherein the indicia is set by a bit within the datagram representing a predetermined state (figs. 5 and 8, 8:19-9:49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefik in view of Peinado et al. (Peinado), U.S. Patent No. 6,772,340.

Regarding claim 18, Stefik substantially teaches user interface for a terminal, wherein the interface is operable in accordance with an indicia associated with content received by the terminal, said indicia being representative of a pre-determined level of control of content, to permit operations available to a user of said terminal in relation to said content, said operations including the transfer of said content from storage, into which content is received from said

Art Unit: 2132

network, to user accessible storage (5:62-6:28, 9:9-49, 10:7-31). Stefik fails to teach the first storage being volatile storage. However, Peinado discloses transferring content from RAM to user accessible storage (9:1-17, 9:25-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to substitute the non-volatile memory disclosed in Stefik with the volatile memory of Peinado since it would yield predictable results.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristin D. Sandoval whose telephone number is 571-272-7958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kristin D Sandoval

Art Unit: 2132

KDS

Examiner Art Unit 2132

frammer Du 2132